IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD

APPEAL FROM ORDER No. 386 of 2004
With
CIVIL APPLICATION No. 9067 of 2004
In
APPEAL FROM ORDER No. 386 of 2004

For Approval and Signature:

HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE P.B.MAJMUDAR

==========

1 Whether Reporters of Local Papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?

2 To be referred to the Reporter or not ?

3 Whether their Lordships wish to see the fair copy of the judgment ?

4 Whether this case involves a substantial question of law as to the interpretation of the constitution of India, 1950 or any order made thereunder ?

5 Whether it is to be circulated to the civil judge ?

==========

UNITED INDIA INSURANCE CO.LTD.
Versus
MINABEN RAJESHKUMAR ACHARYA AND OTHERS

==========

Appearance :
MR PV NANAVATI for Appellant,MR VIBHUTI NANAVATI for Appellant.
RULE SERVED for Respondent Nos. 1 - 3, 6,
RULE NOT RECD BACK for Respondent No.4.
DELETED for Respondent No.5.

==========

CORAM : HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE P.B.MAJMUDAR

Date : 18/09/2006

ORAL JUDGMENT

Mr.Nanavati, learned advocate for the applicant seeks permission to withdraw this Appeal from Order in order to challenge the main order passed by the authority under the Workmen's Compensation Act, dated 6-10-1999, by filing First Appeal, as this Appeal from Order is filed against the order rejecting the review petition, which is not maintainable.

Permission is granted with a liberty as prayed for. However, it is for the appellants to take appropriate proceedings in accordance with law and as deemed fit.

Accordingly, this Appeal from Order is disposed of as withdrawn with no order as to costs.

In view of above order, no order on Civil Application No.9067/2004. Rule issued in Civil Application is discharged with no order as to costs.

(P.B.Majmudar, J.)

/malek